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1 Summary

The continuously increasing costs of developing innovative drugs, the decline of the number of drugs registered per annum and the partly insufficient quality of the submissions prompted FDA to push forward several important initiatives such as the Critical Path Initiative: “The Pharmaceutical Industrialization Process”. The three authors suggest to adopt in the pharmaceutical industry the concepts and the workflow of the automotive industry (Pioneer: TOYOTA) to design, optimize, and test the vehicle first in silico. In the pharmaceutical industry it is possible to use an appropriate design and development software tool, such as CINCAP F-CAD (Formulation – Computer Aided Design) for designing and testing the drug delivery vehicle such as a tablet formulation for the first stage of clinical trials. For this purpose, pre-formulation studies have to be reengineered and a galenical drug-excipient screening program needs to be introduced (See topics of Pharmatrans Sanaq Forum 2013: www.pharmatrans-sanaq.com – Scientific Forum 2013). Prof. Dr. Hans Leuenberger had a chance to give, on January 14, 2013 a presentation on the topic of the Scientific Forum 2013 « Right, First Time » Concept and Workflow at the United States National Science Foundation (NSF) in Arlington VA, USA. With the permission of the editor of “PHARM TECH JAPAN”, SWISS PHARMA has received the exclusive right to publish the English version.
form a chemical drug-excipient compatibility study, but to perform also a galenical drug-excipient screening program using a mechanical simulator of a high-speed tabletting machine, such as Presster™. The use of F-CAD and the use of selected preformulation studies, which do not need a lot of drug substance are important, as at such an early phase only a limited amount of drug substance is available.

2 Introduction: Industrialization as a critical path

The efforts by the pharmaceutical companies to put a new drug on the market become more and more expensive. At the same time, the number of successful registrations of a new drug per annum is decreasing according to FDA statistics (see Fig. 1 [1]). In addition FDA is concerned, that the quality of the pharmaceutical products is in the average only ca. two-sigma, i.e. far away from the quality of the champion, the semiconductor industry, with a six-sigma performance. This situation prompted several important initiatives of FDA such as the Critical Path Initiative [2], the Process Analytical Technology (PAT) Initiative, and the Quality by Design (QbD) Initiative – leading to the ICH Q8 document [3]. The corresponding author of this paper was involved in the PAT Initiative [4]. Since the implementation of these initiatives, the situation did not change significantly [5]. The three dimensions of the Critical Path Initiative (see Fig. 2) are still fully valid. Thus, there is a need for action. The authors of this paper put the focus on the third dimension: the industrialization process, especially the workflow in the early stage of drug development, i.e. the pre-formulation studies and the first clinical trials.

The Critical Path Initiative of FDA was a consequence of the still high attrition rate of drug products in the pipeline in the clinical phases I-IV. Most of the failures are due to problems, which occurred in one of the three dimensions of the critical path (see Fig. 2).

2.1 The service dosage form for Clinical Phase I

The analysis of the actual workflow at the early phases of drug development reveals a major flaw, that the first dosage form – i.e. the so-called “service dosage form”) to explore in the clinical phase I the efficacy of the drug substance and to search for the appropriate therapeutic dose – is usually a simple preparation, very often a capsule formulation, having as main ingredients a mixture of a filler (hydrophilic lactose) and of the drug substance, which is often of hydrophobic nature. In general the effects of percolation theory are not taken into account and catastrophic changes in the dissolution behavior of the formulation can occur [6–9]. It is important to realize, that the percolation theory affects any property of a formulation and is not limited to the dissolution rate or to a specific dosage form [10]. The PhD thesis of Johannes von Orelli [11,12] had the focus on “Search for technological reasons to develop a capsule or tablet formulation” and shows clearly the advantage of a tablet formulation. The quality of a capsule service dosage form is often lower than two-sigma quality. Such a workflow has been adopted due to the fact, that the attrition rate of the number of drug substances in the pipeline is still rather high and no company is ready to spend a lot of money in an early phase of drug development for a drug substance, which may soon no longer be of interest due to – for example – toxicity or efficacy issues. This reasoning is valid taking into account, that extensive and expensive laboratory experiments to develop a robust formulation of six-sigma quality are needed. Due to the relatively high number of drug substances in the preclinical pipeline, the lack of human and laboratory resources and last but not least the lack of a sufficient amount of the drug substance available at that stage of development, a classical optimization of the simple service dosage form into a high quality, market-ready tablet dosage form of six-sigma quality for a Clinical Phase I study is not at all possible. Thus, the development of the market ready dosage form, often a tablet formulation occurs usually only after the drug substance has successfully completed clinical phase II (e.g. phase II c). According to the study of S. Schreder published in SWISS PHARMA [5], the attrition rate, which has been observed in Clinical Phase III is still high. This high rate has been related to lack of efficacy, lack of safety, commercial/financial and non-disclosed reasons. Interestingly, lack of efficacy, and lack of safety are clearly part of the Critical Path Initiative. Commercial/financial and no disclosed reasons may be also linked to the Critical Path Initiative, i.e. to the industrialization process. Problems in the industrialization process are many-fold and of different origin and cover the range from Clinical Phase I till Clinical Phase IV including the scale-up process, which consists of many hurdles if the formulation is not robust.

2.2 Scale-up process: Enlargement of the batch size

An important part of the industrialization process is the scale-up of the batch size of the drug substance itself and of the drug delivery system such as a capsule or tablet formulation [13–21]. In general a tablet formulation is preferred being cheaper and in case of higher doses more convenient for an oral administration, than a capsule formulation. The pharmaceutical industry is aware of the critical path of the industrialization process: There are companies and institutions trying to establish expert systems, to establish in-house data banks, in order to exploit the huge collection of in-house data of the batch to batch document system. A narrow and limited insight in such an attempt to exploit the data of tablet properties of batch documents was obtained with the PhD thesis of Lars Re-
The batch concept has a lot of advantages, as a batch is a clearly defined quantity and can be accepted or rejected by the final quality control [23]. Unfortunately, the equipment for the scale-up of the batches is not designed according to the requirements of the scale-up theory [14–21]. It is important to identify scale-up invariants and to follow the physical laws of scale-up [14]. It is possible to simulate in silico the unit operations such as the wet agglomeration of particles in a fluidized bed granulation and drying equipment [18–20]. Thus, it is possible to establish transfer-functions for a computer-assisted scale-up of batch processes [19, 20]. To the knowledge of the authors of this paper no company has used so far such an opportunity of a computer-assisted [18] scale-up exercise. It is also a pity, that the curriculum of the studies of an industrial pharmacist does not contain the topic of scale-up.

Due to the intrinsic problems of the batch concept, major companies have started to spend a lot of money by looking for completely other avenues such as continuous processing, e.g. with the focus on the continuous granulation process hoping to achieve six-sigma quality of the final product. Unfortunately, a continuous process is much more complex than a one-pot batch unit operation. This is due to the fact, that a continuous process is only robust after reaching its dynamical equilibrium [17]. Thus, it is not easy to define in case of a continuous granulation process a batch size with a lot number, related to an amount of granules to be checked and released by the quality department for further processing. Such a wet agglomeration process is often a necessity to improve wettability and flowability of the intermediate granulated product to be compressed to tablets. In specific cases the wet agglomeration can be replaced by a continuous dry compaction. In such a case, it is important to take care of a possible work hardening of the material to be compressed and to verify, if wettability of the drug substance is a problem.

In the early phase of the drug development, it has to be kept in mind, that only a limited amount of drug substance is available, i.e. that the batch concept and the production of small batches have a clear advantage. In addition, as already mentioned, the quality of this clearly defined batch size can be accepted or rejected. For this reason a semi-continuous granulation process based on a small batch size (subunit) of ca. 6 kg is the process of choice [21]. Another advantage of the batch process consists in the possibility to measure the torque or the power consumption [15] and to be able to understand the resulting power or torque profile. In this context, special care has to be taken concerning the signal/noise ratio of the power or the torque signal. In addition, a deeper understanding of the wet agglomeration process is needed [14]. Unfortunately, most of the formulators do not really exploit the wealth of information, which contains the power consumption or torque profile [15]. In fact, the profile can be used for an in-process control in case of a semi-continuous process equipment [21], or can be used for scale-up purposes [14]. Most of the time, the profile has been just used as a fingerprint, only.

The semi-continuous granulation process line was successfully developed by Glatt AG [21] and had been tested at Roche [21] and at Pfizer [24] in the manufacturing department. It was possible to use the semi-continuous granulation line for placebo formulations and for formulations, already introduced in the market without changing the formulation [21]. According to Werani et al. [24], the semi-continuous process could be successfully applied also in the Pfizer manufacturing department at Freiburg, Germany. However, it is important to keep in mind, that such a granulation and drying line makes only sense as a part of the concept “Right, First Time”. Thus, the first granule formulation, i.e. subunit of ca. 6 kg batch size should be designed, optimized and manufactured in the development department. Thus, a transfer from the same equipment in the development department to the same in the manufacturing department should not present any hurdle. An isolated solution as in the case of Pfizer and Roche is not an option and does not pay back. Thus, the equipment did not survive a reorganization of the management, despite the fact, that up to n = 100 subunits (≈ 600 kg) of granule formulations could be manufactured without an intermediate cleaning of the granulation and drying equipment [21]. In this context, it is important to realize, that the scale-up problem is no longer linked to the change of the physical dimensions from a small to a large manufacturing equipment, but is transferred into the fourth dimension, i.e. the time “t”. Thus, the longer the equipment can be used without a necessary intermediate cleaning, the better is the scale-up problem resolved [25].

The holistic concept of “Right, First Time” is a must, as there is no equipment available, which is able to perform miracles, if the initially developed granule or tablet formulation contains major weak points. In other words, there exists no equipment, which transforms an existing two-sigma formulation into a six-sigma formulation, if the optimization work was not done, “Right, First Time”.

2.3 Test for bioequivalence between the early service dosage form and the final marketed dosage form.

Another typical problem is the failure of the bioequivalence test of the service dosage form compared to the final marketed dosage form. Such a failure in Phase III may significantly slow down the time to market, if the formulation needs to be changed and some clinical studies need to be repeated.

In the classical workflow (see Fig. 4, Classical Workflow) it is a prerequisite, that the bioequivalence test between the initially used capsule formulation as a service dosage form and the final marketed tablet formulation is successful. For this purpose the newly developed final marketed tablet formulation should show the same in-vitro dissolution profile in the different media (pH 1.2, pH 4.5, and pH 6.8) as the service dosage form. Subsequently, it can be expected, that the final marketed dosage form complies with the bioavailability of the service dosage form.

---

**Fig. 4: Classical Workflow:** The earlier service dosage form, i.e. in general a simple, not optimized capsule formulation is often replaced later by a tablet formulation as the final marketed dosage form. It is generally recognized, that the quality of the final marketed dosage form complies with the bioavailability of the service dosage form.

---

**Early Phase:** Service dosage form (in general capsules)

**Change to tablets & Bioequivalence Testing**

**Scale-Up Exercise**

**Mass-production of final marketed form (two-sigma quality)**

---

3 “Right, First Time” Concept and Workflow

It is difficult with the classical concept and workflow to improve the quality of the final marketed dosage form to the level of six-sigma quality. In this context, it has to be taken into account, that according to the safety regulations no major change in the formulation during the clinical studies is allowed to avoid the necessity to repeat expensive earlier clinical and toxicological studies. For this reason, the following paradigm shift becomes a must leading to the “Right, First Time” Concept and Workflow (see Fig. 5).

---

**Fig. 5: “Right, First Time” Concept and Workflow:** The earlier service dosage form, i.e. in general a simple, not optimized capsule formulation is often replaced later by a tablet formulation as the final marketed dosage form. It is generally recognized, that the quality of the final marketed dosage form is ca. two-sigma.
There are a number of prerequisites/requirements to obtain a six-sigma quality of the final marketed dosage form, as well as there are a number of critical processes, which need to be taken care of during the scale-up process, which are discussed in the following sections.

4 Requirements for the “Right, First Time” Workflow: Reengineering pre-formulation studies

Pre-formulation studies include an in-depth physico-chemical characterization of the drug substance and – if not provided by the excipient manufacturers – of the functional excipients used in the formulation of the dosage forms.

An excellent batch to batch quality of the material (drug, excipients) is a prerequisite, and should not be put into danger in order to save money by changing the excipient provider without being sure of the quality of the same excipient having maybe a slightly better prize.

A good knowledge in material science of the formulator is recommended to be able to judge the effect of changes in physico-chemical properties such as e.g. number of crystalline defects in a drug substance of a new crystallization process.

In addition to the physico-chemical characterization of the pure drug substance and the pure excipients, it is important to know chemical and physico-chemical as well as “galenical” interactions between the drug substance and the functional excipients used in the formulation. The choice of the type and quality of the primary material used should not be underestimated: Any manufacturer, who wants to sell a product of high quality, is aware of this fact. In this context, it is important to keep in mind the view of an architect, that the quality of the foundations determines the long term stability of a construction.

4.1 Physico-chemical characterization of the drug substance and of the functional excipients used in dosage form design

The following physico-chemical data of the drug substance (API) are needed: Solubility of API in different solvents and buffer media (at different pH values taking into account ionic strength), intrinsic dissolution data, particle size and shape distribution, true density, crystal shape, SEM micrograph, polymorphic modifications, salt type, possible pseudo polymorphs, loss on drying, residual content of solvents of the crystallization process etc. It is recommended to have a close contact to the in-house or external drug manufacturer to know the synthesis and the crystallization process [26].

An important issue is the selection of the salt of a drug substance used for the toxicology and clinical studies. The stability of the drug substance solubilized in different buffer media should be tested based on the law of Arrhenius. Thus, accelerated stability tests are a prerequisite for designing the first formulation of an injectable dosage form, which is a must to determine the pharmacokinetic data and the absolute bioavailability of the drug substance in animals and later in the clinical study. For the formulation of the sterile, liquid dosage form, additional excipients may be needed as well and tested for compatibility with the drug substance in solution.

In this context, it is important to check, if the properties of the excipients supplied comply with the certificate of analysis delivered by the manufacturer/provider. If needed, i.e. relevant, additional properties should be quantified such as true density, polymorphism, crystallinity, particle and shape distribution, pH value of a suspension of excipient particles in water, content of heavy metals, absence of microbiological contamination etc.

4.2 Chemical and physical drug-excipient compatibility study

In order to achieve an acceptable shelf life of the solid dosage form of 3–5 years at Room Temperature, a physico-chemical drug-excipient test to choose the compatible excipients is an absolute prerequisite. There are different types of tests predicting chemical drug-excipient interactions ranging from thermal analysis, functional group studies to accelerated stability tests at different storage conditions. It is not difficult to find in literature or by google suggestions, how to design a drug-excipient compatibility study. The corresponding author has published a factorial design for drug-excipient powder mixtures [27].

![Diagram](image-url)
changes, formation of hydrates, eutectic interactions, hygroscopicity, crystal growth effects, etc. Today, it is possible to reduce expensive laboratory work by using standardized and automated test equipment, e.g. provided by RPD Tool AG [28]. Such an automated testing facility (see Fig. 7) contains storage cabinets to store test samples under controlled conditions (humidity, temperature).

In addition analytical tools are integrated to perform physical (i.e. Raman spectroscopy for morphological stability, near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy for hygroscopicity, camera for monitoring discoloration and other optical changes) and chemical (i.e. liquid chromatography) analysis. The data basis generated by such an automated system enables a comprehensive stability assessment of the formulation candidates.

**4.3 Automated accelerated stability test program for shelf life estimation of the final marketed solid dosage form**

Pioneering methods for using stability data generated under accelerated storage conditions for shelf-life calculation under more realistic conditions were elaborated by K. Waterman et al. [29]. Although the published protocol and procedures yield in many cases accurate results, a significant number of studies remain where the simple approach with the extended Arrhenius function gives unsatisfactory or even false results. Some of these discrepancies can be explained, by e.g. a further decomposition of the initial breakdown products. In other cases however, the existing data generated according to the protocol given in [29] are not sufficient large enough for an in-depth analysis of the reason for the failure of the modified Arrhenius equation to predict the shelf life under realistic conditions.

For a more robust extrapolation of accelerated stability data to realistic conditions, it is therefore essential to use extended study designs which include more store conditions (temperature, humidity) and which provide larger analytical data sets. Last but not least it is also recommended to use latest analytical equipment such as UPLC including both UV and MS/MS detection in order to ensure a qualitative and quantitative correct interpretation of the degradation of the drug in the formulation during storage and to ensure a proper analysis of the corresponding kinetic profile. In numerous studies, the protocol given in table 1 has been worked out and is an appropriate approach to ensure a good data basis for a reliable shelf life estimation of formulation candidates based on accelerated stability data:

According to this extended protocol, up to 65 chromatographic analyses are performed within the scope of an accelerated stability study for each formulation candidate. It is therefore obviously that such a high work load requires fast analytical methods as well as automation in sample storage and chromatographic sample preparation. A Waters H-Class Acquity UPLC System with UV and MS/MS detection in addition to automated multi climate cabinets and chromatographic sample preparation systems are suitable equipment to generate the analytical data in the required quality and with the required efficiency.

Data evaluation for shelf life estimations can be performed according to the procedure described by Carella [30] and starts with the calculation of the reaction rate constant (k) for the by-product formation at each storage condition by assuming a zero-order kinetic (c [t] = kt). The determined reaction rate constants k were then entered into the modified Arrhenius equation (29, [30]) in order to calculate the shelf live at ambient conditions. It was found that in most cases reliable shelf life estimation can be performed after approximately one month storage, and after two months a final assessment of the chemical stability of the formulation is possible.

**4.4 Galenical drug – excipient screening program**

Different examples for a chemical drug-excipient compatibility program concerning the best choice of excipients for an optimal shelf life of the dosage form can be found in literature. Thanks to the education of the industrial pharmacist in chemistry, the interpretation of the drug-excipient compatibility program is no problem. Interestingly, in the case of the best choice of excipients to achieve an optimal, i.e. robust formulation, a corresponding literature search does not lead to any meaningful result. This fact may be linked to the traditional thinking, that formulation is considered more as an art than a science [4]. Due to the lack of a systematic galenical drug-excipient screening program, most of the formulations in the marketplace show the typical signature of the pharmacist, who has designed the formulation. In principle, a standard galenical drug-excipient screening program can be designed similarly to a chemical drug-excipient program (see Fig. 6) by preparing a tablet consisting of the drug substance mixed with a filler in different ratios combined with additional auxiliary substances such as a disintegrant and lubricant, using appropriate concentrations of the functional excipients. It is recommended to include e.g. up to three drug concentrations, covering possible geometric phase transitions, i.e. critical concentrations below and above the drug percolation threshold. The excipients chosen should be chemically compatible with the drug substance. The careful interpretation of the galenical screening program needs a firm knowledge in material science and powder technology, which is not part of the standard curriculum studying industrial pharmacy. A study in chemistry is not sufficient. It makes sense to have a standard galenical screening program for an immediate release and for a controlled release formulation and to check the relevant galenical tablet properties such as tablet disintegration time, drug dissolution profile, hardness and friability of the tablet. It is recommended to test the formulations as a function of time and storage in different climate conditions. Unfortunately, it is not known, if an accelerated stability study at higher temperatures may yield reasonable results concerning the prediction of the long term stability of physical tablet properties such as disintegration time, drug dissolution rate etc. There exist reports about “aging” of certain tablet formulations, which can lead to changes in relevant tablet properties such as the disintegration time. Thus,

---

**Table 1: Extended study protocol for accelerated storage stability studies.**

Every number (1) represents a chemical analysis (UPLC/MS/MS) of a test sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition/Storage time (day)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>52</th>
<th>62</th>
<th>65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40°C Dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40°C 50%RH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45°C Dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45°C 50%RH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50°C Dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50°C 50%RH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55°C Dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55°C 50%RH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°C Dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°C 50%RH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65°C Dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65°C 50%RH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Right, First Time / Concept / Workflow**

---

**Fig. 7: Automated system for physical and chemical stability testing.** The system consist of a set of racks (middle) for the storage of up to 1000 test samples under controlled conditions (temperature, humidity), several devices for non-destructive physical (camera, near infrared & Raman spectroscopy (left side)) and chemical (UPLC/MS, (right side)) analysis after automated sample preparation (red-colored area).
an early detection of such a weak point in a formulation is an advantage. Often galenical properties such as hardness, disintegration time are determined as a function of the “applied” pressure. Such a description of the tableting process has become – unfortunately – part of the common language of an industrial pharmacist despite the fact, that the “pressure” is not an independent variable, but the result of squeezing material confined in a die between the upper and the lower punch surface.

Thus, especially in case of a standard galenical screening program, it is important to keep in mind, that the pressure developed is a material property. In addition, it is essential to study in detail the tableting process. For this purpose, it makes sense, to use an instrumented tableting device, capable of simulating mechanically a high speed rotary tableting machine such as the Presster™ (see Fig. 8 [13]). It is wise to use the Presster™ as an analytical instrument in an early screening phase and not for finding a solution, how to repair a weak point of a formulation in a later phase. Fig. 9 shows a factorial design for a screening program of an immediate release formulation looking for the best disintegrant in the presence of a constant amount of drug substance, testing two types of fillers (Lactose, Dicalcium phosphate) and two types of lubricants (Magnesium stearate, Stearic Acid). The data, collected by the Presster™, using a constant gap between the punches, yield important results concerning the compaction process (see Fig. 10). The gap between the punches determines the thickness of the tablet, which is relevant for blister packaging. The porosity of the tablet and the resulting pressure response of the material used in the formulation.

4.5 Tableting problems, which can occur during scale – up, resp. the industrialization process

The following tableting problems can occur specifically during scale-up exercise, using high performance tableting press equipment with high tableting speeds:
1) Lubrication problems
2) Sticking of the tablets
3) Capping of the tablets

As the Presster™ results show, there are clear differences visible concerning the ejection force, which can be related to a possible lubrication problem. A high take-off force can be related to a sticking problem. The problem of capping is a critical one, as it occurs in the results as a function of the excipients used are impressive. A high ejection force is related to a problem concerning lubrication. A high take-off force can lead to sticking problems. A high response of the pressure at the constant gap distance can have as a consequence a higher weir of the tableting tools (punch abrasion).

### Table: Presster™ Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>D1.2</th>
<th>D1.3</th>
<th>D1.4</th>
<th>D2.2</th>
<th>D2.3</th>
<th>D2.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC Peak (kN)</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC Peak (kN)</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Eject (N)</td>
<td>134.2</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>2095.7</td>
<td>1306.3</td>
<td>493.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take-Off (N)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (mg)</td>
<td>504.9</td>
<td>506.2</td>
<td>506.4</td>
<td>504.7</td>
<td>505.2</td>
<td>504.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (mm)</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (N)</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
<td>&gt;144</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disint. time (sec)</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8: Presster™ Compaction Simulator of MCC [13] simulating mechanically a high speed rotary press needing a small amount of material to be tested.

Fig. 9: Example of a factorial design for a galenical drug-excipient screening program for the best technological choice of the functional excipients.

Fig. 10 Results of the Presster™ [33] including tablet properties (Thickness, hardness, disintegration time measured 24 h after production): The differences in the results as a function of the excipients used are impressive. A high ejection force is related to a problem concerning lubrication. A high take-off force can lead to sticking problems. A high response of the pressure at the constant gap distance can have as a consequence a higher weir of the tableting tools (punch abrasion).

It is important that the Presster™ equipment can simulate mechanically a rotary high speed press using only a limited amount of material to be compressed. The effect of the tableting speed on the properties of the tablets [33] is shown in the Fig. 12.

To be on the save side, it is important to perform these experiments with the Presster™ equipment, using an appropriate experi-
Fig. 11: “Capping Propensity”: Indentation hardness/tensile strength ratio values of tablets not yet showing the problem of “capping” as a function of the peak value of the compression force in order to obtain harder tablets of Caffeine FGR, Acetylsalicylic Acid (Aspirine FK) and a mixture 1:1 [34]. The ratio, i.e. the slope of the line is constant, if no capping occurs. The tendency of capping becomes visible due to a change in the slope, as the tensile strength is sensitive to small cracks within the tablet before real capping occurs. In this context the indentation hardness is a «local» property of the tablet, but the «tensile strength» depends on the global structure of the tablet. The direct compressible Aspirine FK did not show any capping [34].

Fig. 12: Formulation Design Space Exploration according to ICH Q8 R2: Effect of the tableting speed on the hardness of Paracetamol tablets [33]. It has to be kept in mind, that due to the high speed press using only a limited amount of material to be compressed. The process has an effect on the hardness value: The tablet hardness is lower with a shorter dwell time. Tablets have been prepared of coded variables with a low (= blue) and a high (= red) tableting speed, yielding different results.

Fig. 13: Design space exploration of Nifedipine extended release matrix tablet formulations [33] according to ICH Q8 R2: Results of in-vitro dissolution profiles of the time points t40% (blue), t60% (red) and t90% (green). Contour plots represent times of 40%, 60% and 90% drug released in simulated gastric fluid [33].

Fig. 14: In-vitro dissolution profiles of 9 Nifedipine 80 mg Extended Release Matrix Tablet formulations using the Presster™ Compaction unit in the framework of a drug-excipient galenical screening program according to ICH Q8 R2 [33] with Ethocel as Matrix, Microcrystalline Cellulose (MCC), Microcrystalline Cellulose Sanaq Burst a second generation product of MCC Sanaq Rapid [18] and PVP as solubilizing agent for Nifedipine. In the plot the USP dissolution specifications for the lower and upper limit for a 60 mg Nifedipine Extended Release formulation are included.

4.6 Computer-Assisted Scale-up

Most of the actual unit operations are batch processes such as mixing, granulation, i.e. the wet agglomeration process [31] and the subsequent drying. Unfortunately, there are different types of mixers, granulators and dryers, which are different in size and differ in specific properties such as heat capacity, confinement properties, cleaning properties, hidden spaces, etc. It is evident, that the requirements for scale-up to fulfill the criteria [14,20] concerning geometric, kinematic and dynamic similarities are not given in prac-
tice at all. On the other hand, thanks to the requirements of FDA to keep batch records, there are plenty of data concerning the standard operation procedures and properties in case of small, medium sized and very large batches. Often these data [22] are not really exploited and represent a kind of cemetery of data, which can be checked for inspection, if needed. Indeed, such a wealth of important information could be easily used to define a “transfer function” for obtaining the same properties of an intermediate product for a small and a large scale batch. Thus, it is possible to establish a “computer assisted scale-up” exercise. Such an approach includes the creation of a specific Virtual Equipment Simulator (VES), which can be used also for training purposes [18, 19]. Flight Simulators are used since many years for the training of pilots. Fig. 15 shows the vision of the CINCAP VES system. It is evident, that such a VES needs to have a scientific backbone to calculate the transfer function, which will make the link between the small and large scale equipment (see Fig. 16). In case of continuous processes such as tableting (see Chapter 4.5) or the wet agglomeration process (granulation), other criteria such as the dynamical equilibrium [17] or time dependent scale-up problems having an identical geometry in case of a semi-continuous process [25] need to be taken into account. It is important to realize, that Virtual Equipment Simulators (VES) are also an excellent tool for equipment manufacturers to improve their equipment [18].

Fig. 15: Schematic representation of a Virtual Equipment Simulator (VES) used for a computer assisted scale-up and for training purposes (Courtesy: CINCAP LLC, Switzerland)

Fig. 16: Mollier chart as backbone of the Virtual Equipment Simulator of a Fluid bed Granulator and Dryer (Courtesy: CINCAP LLC, Switzerland).

5 F – CAD as a prerequisite for rapid prototyping of the tablet formulation ready for marketing

The goal is to test the drug substance already in Clinical Phase I with a prototype tablet formulation, which is optimized having six-sigma quality and does not vary significantly from the final marketed dosage form. A dosage form is a drug delivery system and can be compared to a transport vehicle, which transports drug molecules (like aircraft does with passengers) to the site of action. There is however a major difference between the automotive, respectively aircraft industry and the pharmaceutical industry: Today, the first prototype of a new car or an aircraft can be designed and tested fully in silico. Thus, there is a need to develop adequate software capable of designing a solid dosage form, such as a tablet. The software needs to be also capable of taking into account the effects of percolation theory, i.e. to detect percolation thresholds in the compressed powder bed of the ingredients (drug substance, functional excipients) being involved in the formulation. The software F-CAD (Formulation–Computer Aided Design) developed by CINCAP GmbH showed to be capable of calculating the dissolution profile of a drug substance [18] and to estimate the disintegration time of a tablet formulation [19,32,48]. F-CAD can be used to design an immediate and or a controlled drug release tablet formulation [35] and to detect percolation thresholds. The availability of F-CAD allows to adopt the same workflow (see Fig. 5: “Right, First Time”-Workflow) as in the automotive, respectively aircraft industry. Thus, it is possible to fulfill the requirements of ICH Q 8 (R2) by exploring the formulation design space in an early phase of the drug development. It is even possible to design a tablet prototype with a six-sigma quality for the first clinical trials in Clinical Phase I. Thus, much more relevant data for a final optimization within the design space can be acquired during the subsequent clinical phases. No major change of the formulation or a bioequivalent test between an early service dosage form and the final marketed dosage form is required.

5.1 Selection of the best suited material for the in silico design of the drug delivery vehicle

The functional excipients used for the design of the drug delivery system, i.e. the solid dosage form needs to be chosen carefully. It is evident and generally recognized, that the functional excipients need to be chemically compatible with the drug substance. Interestingly, a systematic galenical screening program to select the best suited functional excipients is not yet a standard approach. The authors of this paper suggest selecting the best suited material for the in silico design of the drug delivery vehicle on the basis of the chemical drug-excipient compatibility and the drug-excipient galenical screening program.

5.2 Dose range finding and selection of the appropriate dissolution profile for the in silico design of the drug delivery system

Depending on the drug substance, its indication, its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic profile, an immediate and/or controlled release drug delivery system needs to be designed. F-CAD (Formulation–Computer Aided Design) is capable of designing both types without problems. In this context, it is important to realize, that the calculation of the in silico dissolution rate is based on a first principle approach using cellular automata and is different from any known “expert system” being based on a collection of acquired “in-house” data, knowledge and expertise. The major difference of the F-CAD approach to an expert system is that F-CAD uses a calculation principle. This means that with the help of cellular automata-based algorithms the physical experiment (e.g. compaction of a power mixture
or dissolution testing) could be carried out in a computer memory, i.e. virtually. In this respect, the CAD-based approach is an attempt to “move” the real laboratory into virtual reality; and to carry out trials without spending precious substances and time. Such an approach does not involve stored human expertise but requires real expert to drive the development process. Generally speaking, there is no change to the standard approach in formulation R&D but the test could be made in “virtual laboratory”, planned by a human expert. Due to the fact, that side-effects of a medication often depend on the rise of the plasma level of the drug substance in the individual patient, it can be an advantage to design from the very beginning of the first clinical studies an immediate release and a slow release tablet formulation.

For clinical phase I it is important to design from the beginning a tablet with a small, a middle and a high content of the drug substance, which covers the range below and above the percolation threshold of the drug substance. The mass of the tablet should be chosen, which shall allow in steps a larger size of the tablet formulation to accommodate, if needed, a higher amount of drug substance for dose range finding.

As only a few laboratory experiments are needed for validation purposes of the F-CAD proposed formulations, there is no problem to spend too much money in this early development phase. Needless to say, how much in-depth and valuable knowledge during the subsequent non-critical path of the industrialization phase can be obtained with such a dosage form, which is already optimized and ready for marketing.

5.3 Tablet shape (Tablet Designer)

F-CAD has as a module to design first the shape and volume of the desired tablet (see Fig. 17). In an early, exploratory phase a simple geometry of the tablet is appropriate. However, if for marketing reasons, a more attractive or specific shape is desired, it makes sense to check in silico the influence of changing the shape of the tablet on the dissolution rate [18, 19, 35]. This step is an important task for the subsequent in silico unit operations to create the virtual in silico tablet [32, 35]. In this context, the true densities of the drug substance and the excipients are needed. It is important to check in silico the influence of the porosity on the drug dissolution profile and to make a decision on the porosity of the tablet.

5.4 F-CAD calculations

For the creation of an in silico tablet, corresponding unit operations as in case of laboratory experiments are needed such as direct compression, size enlargement of the powder particles (granulation process), addition of the outer phase with a disintegrant, lubricant. The details are part of the F-CAD training module and the application of these in silico unit operations are generally described in [35]. Thus, as an example, the “in silico” compression is based on a kind of “time-inversion”-process by letting grow the involved particles in the confined space of the die and the neighboring particles leading to a deformation of the shape of the original particles [32].

The major merit of F-CAD is its capability to calculate ab initio the dissolution profile [18, 19, 35] of a tablet formulation, an immediate and/or a controlled release one. A typical example is shown in Fig. 18.

For drug substances with a very low water solubility the tablet disintegration time is used as an important property of quality. F-CAD is not able to calculate the disintegration time, which depends on the equipment used (with or without disks). However, it can be assumed, that the “time elapsed” (te) till the water molecules reach the center of the volume of the tablet is to a certain extent correlated with the disintegration time (see Fig. 19). Further studies need to be done to check, how the situation could be optimized. Most important is, however, the capacity of F-CAD to detect percolation thresholds (see Fig. 19). This ability is of special interest in connection with ICH Q8 (R2) to explore in the early phase of the drug development the design space of the drug formulation.
6 The 3 “M” Dimensions of Excellence to do better and save money

In this context, the Swiss Watch Industry under the leadership of Nicolas Hayek of the Swiss Group SWATCH is an excellent showcase with an outstanding performance contributing a major part to the GDP of Switzerland thanks to the Excellence in the 3 “M” dimensions, i.e. Outstanding Excellence in lean and smart Management, in Manufacturing by an extensive use of robotics and last but not least an Outstanding Excellence in Marketing!

Indeed, a Lean six-sigma Drug Development is not sufficient, it must be also smart. It is important that the management puts the right priorities to achieve in a smart way the desired six-sigma quality. To save money at the same time, it is a prerequisite to replace as much as possible of expensive laboratory work. In this respect an outstanding Excellence in 3M (Management, Manufacturing, and Marketing) is most important:

Point Nr. 1, Excellence in Management: Introduction of the “Right, First Time” concept and workflow by replacing laboratory experiments by in silico design and testing being best practice and state of the art in the automotive and aircraft industry. As the introduction of the described “Right, First Time” concepts consists in a major paradigm shift affecting many working stations of the existing workflow, i.e. within the R&D and the manufacturing departments, some reorganization steps optimizing connectivity and smart management decisions are of primary importance for a holistic implementation. The potential savings are remarkable.

The aircraft industry [40] reported the following savings, which can be summarized as follows: Elimination of >3000 assembly interfaces, without any physical prototyping, 90% reduction in engineering change requests (6000 to 600), 50% reduction in cycle time for engineering change request, 90% reduction in material rework, 50% improvement in assembly tolerances for fuselage. Indeed, it is estimated that at least comparable or even more savings can be expected in the pharmaceutical industry, especially, if F-CAD is used in a holistic approach within the context of the “Right, First Time” concept and workflow and not as an isolated solution [18, 19, 41]. Most important is the application of F-CAD to explore in silico the Formulation Design Space according to ICH Q8, as an “unlimited” number of formulations can be tested in silico for the appropriate drug release profile (see Fig. 20 [43]).

In Fig. 20, the in silico formulation design space exploration was performed as part of the classical workflow for the transition from the capsule formulation as a service dosage form to the final tablet formulation for the market. It is important to keep in mind, that the potential of F-CAD cannot be fully exploited in such an exercise, as a major change of the formulation is not allowed due to regulatory issues. Such type of “insular” solutions have been in the past a type of “repair actions” to correct weak points of an existing tablet formulation, which did not comply with the dissolution profile of the service dosage form or did not pass the bioequivalence test. Thus, no significant savings can be achieved due to the lack of a holistic implementation of the “Right, First Time” concept and workflow.

Point Nr. 2 Excellence in Manufacturing: It is important, that substantial savings can be achieved by using dedicated robotic equipment instead of investing in expensive manual labor. In this context, the Swiss Watch Industry is an excellent example replacing as much as possible manual work to manufacture first class watches at a reasonable prize.

In case of countries with expensive manual labor work, the use of robots to reduce costs is essential. FDA’s Quality by Design (QbD) and PAT initiative boosted in-line, on-line and at line in-process controls [23, 42] and to a certain extent the use of robotics, however, the R&D departments still have room for improvements to use robotics, especially the area of pre-formulation studies such as determination of the physico-chemical properties of the drug substance, drug-excipient compatibility studies, accelerated stability tests for solid dosage forms [44] etc. AstraZeneca achieved substantial savings in the area of accelerated stability tests [45], using automated equipment of RPD Tool AG (see Fig. 21 a/b).

Point Nr. 3 Excellence in Marketing: Last, but not least, it is important to realize, that the concept and workflow of “Right, First Time” will reduce the time to market due to the fact, that a robust and market ready tablet formulation is available already at the time of the initial clinical phase and just needs to be refined in order to show optimally the therapeutic advantages of the new drug substance. Thus, such an approach will lead to better medicinal products which can be better marketed. This advantage of the “Right, First Time” concept and workflow is of special importance in case of a blockbuster product: The reduction of time to market will lead to savings of equal or more than one million USD per day being earlier on the market.

In silico Formulation Design Space Exploration according to ICH Q8 R2 testing the dissolution profile of 35 tablet formulations in phosphate buffer pH 6.8. The points with the error bar represent the in-vitro dissolution profile of the capsule formulation of the service dosage form [43] as reference for the final tablet formulation for the bioequivalence test (Classical Workflow with a capsule service dosage form of low quality as reference).

![Fig. 20: F-CAD in silico Formulation Design Space Exploration according to ICH Q8 R2 testing dissolution profile of 35 tablet formulations in phosphate buffer pH 6.8. The points with the error bar represent the in-vitro dissolution profile of the capsule formulation of the service dosage form [43] as reference for the final tablet formulation for the bioequivalence test (Classical Workflow with a capsule service dosage form of low quality as reference).](image1)

![Fig. 21a: Views of parts of the second generation robotized automatic stability test equipment having a size of 6 m x 2.5 m developed by RPD TOOL AG, (courtesy RPD TOOL, Switzerland).](image2)
7 Conclusions and Outlook

7.1 The Implementation of the “Right, First Time” Workflow

The first step consists in establishing a working party, preferably with an internal or external expert, for analyzing and comparing the existing workflow with the “Right, First Time” workflow. As the situation may differ from company to company different scenarios are feasible, which have to be taken care of.

The different scenarios need a different amount of investments to implement the holistic concept of the “Right, First Time” workflow: A) Substitution of the service dosage form with the F-CAD optimized tablet formulation, which needs a minimum of investments and is a prerequisite.

Scenario A is recommended, if the company has no problems with scale-up exercises and if the degree of laboratory work being automated in the R&D department is already high, ideally, the manufacturing processes are in case A also automated. Scenario A leads to the following idea to be checked with e.g. a case study in order to quantify the benefits, as at practically no cost a fast and a slow release tablet formulation can be prepared for Clinical Phase I. The two formulations with e.g. three different drug loads can be used for a more differentiated study than just a simple dose range evaluation. The additional investment in this early clinical phase needs to be, however, quantified taking into account, that the test dosage form has already six-sigma Quality, i.e. the variability of the clinical data cannot be attributed to a low quality service dosage form. On the other hand, it has to be kept in mind, that the number of drug substances at the end of the preclinical phase is still higher, than at the end of clinical phase I, creating additional costs for drug substances, which fail for other reasons. If no additional studies during Clinical Phase I are planned, the additional costs are modest and refer to the costs of the license of the software incl. training activities and to a galenical drug-excipient screening program in addition to the chemical drug-excipient program. The Return on Investment should be very high, to be sure to have already an optimal dosage form ready for the market at the early stage of Clinical Phase I.

B) The scenario B differs from scenario A by investments for an increased use of robotics in the area of R&D, using automated physico-chemical screening programs. Payback times of 1–2 years for the hardware needed to automate pharmaceutical-analytical screening tests have been reported.

C) Scenario C differs from Scenario A by investments in a computer-assisted scale-up process. In this scenario C, no change in the existing hardware of the manufacturing department is needed. The data of the batch records are better exploited and an investment is needed for the Virtual Equipment Simulators (VES). The use of VES will facilitate the continuous education and personnel training of the persons involved.

D) Scenario D differs from Scenario A by introducing in the R&D and in the Manufacturing Department identical hardware for manufacturing small scale and large scale batches, e.g. using a quasi-continuous granulation and drying line. This unique investment will avoid future scale-up problems and lead to short time pay back.

Last but not least, it is important to realize, that F-CAD is the backbone of the “Right, First Time” concept and workflow allowing all the responsible persons of the departments of the pharmaceutical industry involved, i.e. from R&D, Manufacturing till Registration, to check carefully the technical results before submitting the documents to the regulatory authorities. Thanks to the six-sigma quality of the dosage form in all clinical phases the lower variability of the drug delivery system will lead to important savings and shorten time to market. On the other hand, F-CAD is the tool of choice for FDA, EMEA, Swissmedic, i.e. for the regulatory authorities, for checking the internal consistency of the technical data in the documents, which have been submitted, helping to speed-up the acceptance process.

7.2 General Statements and Outlook

A paradigm shift in the actual workflow is a prerequisite to have a chance achieving a turnaround in the trend of Fig. 1 showing the constant rising costs of introducing a new drug into the market. The most important action to be taken will be the introduction of the workflow known as best practice in the automotive and aircraft industry, i.e. that the first prototype of the transport vehicle is first designed and tested completely in silico. Thus, the critical path of “industrialization” could lose its cliffs, which are costly and endanger a high quality of the formulation. Thanks to the better knowledge and thanks to a computer assisted scale-up exercise a six-sigma quality should be possible to be reached. According to the requests for writing book chapters on the topic of F-CAD and computer assisted scale-up [20, 46] the awareness of the pharmaceutical industry seems to increase. In addition, it is important to realize, that F-CAD allows the pharmaceutical industry and the regulatory authorities such as FDA, EMEA, Swissmedic, to validate and check the data submitted of consistency.

It is concluded that F-CAD software is one of the tools for the substitution of laboratory experiments for the purpose of the design and development of new pharmaceutical solid dosage forms with taking account for the exploration of the formulation design space according to ICH Q 8 (R2). Due to the steadily rising costs for developing and finally for registering a new drug substance, the pharmaceutical industry starts to use for a rapid pharmaceutical development more and more software tools. Such an approach is not new and has been successfully introduced in the automotive industry, with TOYOTA as a pioneer using the principle of “Quality by Design” [47]. Today, not only cars but also aircrafts such as the Boeing 777 and the Airbus 380 are first constructed and tested in-silico. Such an approach is considered as best practice. TOYOTA as a pioneer in this field showed, that it seems to be easier to achieve a top ranking, than to keep such a ranking.

In the study [32] the software F-CAD (Formulation-Computer Aided Design) [32] is used to calculate in silico the expected time of disintegration. F-CAD has been very successfully applied so far to predict the dissolution profile of a tablet [18, 19, 35, 36] and there is a conjecture, that the “time elapsed” till water molecules reach the center of the tablet, which can be calculated by F-CAD could be a surrogate for the effectively measured disintegration time.

From the point of view to choose the best experimental design to find critical concentrations, it is highly recommended to take into account the percolation theory. Thus, among others, the experimental range can be narrowed. The Dissolution Simulation (DS) module of F-CAD, which is based on cellular automata algorithm has been used to simulate the disintegration time of a Mefenamic Acid tablet. The experimental disintegration time of tablet is compared with the calculated specific time point for water to reach the geometric center of the tablet. In general, there is not a specific problem to calculate these specific times. The disintegration is the key property, especially for low water soluble drugs. Often the disintegration test can reduce the number of dissolution runs for the design and process development of immediate release tablet formulation at the early stage such as phase I and II before proof of concept study [32].

Thus, a “dangerous canyon” close to the percolation threshold leading to a high variability of a sensitive tablet property may not be detected by only response surface methodologies [32]. It is evident that such a design space exploration with classical laboratory experiments is extremely difficult to realize and would be extremely expensive. In this respect, the application of F-CAD opens a new research avenue, i.e. a “New Kind of Science” [37] in the language of Stephen Wolfram (Princeton University). Stephan Wolfram describes in his book a “New Kind of Science” [37] among others the use of Cellular Automata (CA) instead of solving partial differential equations such as the equation of diffusion of water molecules, which are responsible for the release of the drug substance, of
swelling of starch etc. In this context, it is important to know the corresponding “CA-rule”, which copies the natural diffusion process in three dimensions. Classical science uses the classical tools of mathematics, algebra, higher analysis, complex calculus, differential and integral equations with the necessary boundary conditions in order to describe phenomena occurring e.g. in nature. Depending on the complexity of a problem, the use of these classical mathematical tools may represent a very challenging task and may need a lot of computer power to solve the problem numerically. Interestingly, the use of CA offers an ab initio, i.e. a “first principle” approach, which takes into account “automatically” the “boundary conditions” of the tablet shape, tablet volume, porosity, drug particle size, distribution of the different types of particles (drug substance, functional excipients) etc.

The results of this study show that the application of percolation theory is a must in order to detect percolation thresholds. It is important to know the response surfaces close to the percolation threshold of sensitive tablet properties such as the disintegration time to get information about the robustness of the selected formulation. In this context one has to put the question forward if the application of the percolation theory and the use of F-CAD to detect the percolation thresholds should be an integral part of the guidelines of ICH Q8 exploring the formulation design space [48]. There are clear indications, that the industrialization process can be improved and critical hurdles can be overcome. However, in this context, it is important to take several actions, i.e. 1) FDA, EMEA, Swissmedic, i.e. the regulatory authorities should take the lead for a clear guidance in this area and to develop solutions together with the industry. 2) The educational curriculum for an industrial pharmacist at the University level needs to be changed that the industrial pharmacist will be ready for the challenges of the industrialization process of a drug delivery system. Thus, the curriculum should consist of an excellent knowledge in biology, chemistry, mathematics and physics being the foundations of doing a major in industrial pharmacy. In addition special knowledge in higher mathematical analysis, material science, chemical engineering, pharmaceutical engineering, mechanical engineering, equipment engineering, scale-up theory and application, computational science for modeling and simulations, percolation theory should be part of the curriculum. A stage in industry of at least 6 months -1 year for a master thesis is a must. The curriculum and the degree should be validated, respectively certified by an appropriate body of FDA, EMEA, Swissmedic etc. The corresponding author of this paper had originally in mind as acting Head of the Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, to start an initiative for an International PhD Program in Pharmaceutical Technology with the support of the pharmaceutical industry. The idea was boosted by a survey showing that more than 95% of all former PhD students of the corresponding author working in the pharmaceutical industry. The idea was later abandoned, as some top managers of the pharmaceutical industry complained, that young people from University are in a very short time completely absorbed by the existing company culture, which is very conservative and does not allow radical changes, which may need the consensus of FDA, EMEA, Swissmedic. For this reason, maybe an International PhD program certified by FDA is a solution. It is evident, that the guidance of FDA, EMEA, Swissmedic, i.e. of the respective regulatory authorities is a prerequisite. For the time being, the “Right, First Time” Academia/Industry Interface Laboratory at RPD Tool AG offers to host excellent PhD students or Postdocs from any University of the world, for a research stay of a minimum of 6 months to get trained using a Right, First Time approach based on F-CAD and the mentioned pre-formulation studies. The Academia/Industry Interface Lab is also ready to host scientists from all over the world, who want to spend a sabbatical leave. Applications should be sent to the corresponding author by e-mail. PhD or Postdoc applicants need to be aware, that only a limited number of applicants can be accepted and that applicants are responsible themselves to look for a grant supporting financially the stay and the education at the Right, First Time Academy/Industry Interface Lab at RPD-Tool AG in Switzerland. Concerning scale-up and the training of people using equipment for large batch sizes, it is somehow surprising, that only pilots are first trained with simulators to use best the aircraft, which in case of failure is an expensive loss. In case of a large batch size with an expensive drug, the quality of the batch should be “Right, First Time”. It should not be too difficult to obtain from the “batch” documentation of existing formulations the “scale-up” transfer function of the specific equipment. Thus, it will be easy to design an “equipment simulator” [38, 39] to train people. In addition, the scale-up exercise should become an easy task.

The book “A New Kind of Science” of Stephen Wolfram [37] needs special attention, as it will revolutionize mathematics in general and bioinformatics in a very specific way in life sciences. The latter is of special interest for the pharmaceutical industry. The important point consists in the fact, that the algorithmic (CA. rules) of Wolfram Sciences takes into account very complicated boundary conditions, which will facilitate the design of the boundary condition of e.g. the lung, which will look different and more complicated than a tablet (see Fig. 17), but will facilitate finding solutions to simulate the practical functioning of such a human organ. Thus, as an outlook, in future, it may be possible to simulate in-silico the effect of a specific drug on that organ.

Last, but not least, it is important to be thankful to FDA, keeping in mind, that FDA is not only interested in being an institution to enforce law, but is actively promoting Science and Emerging Technologies supporting in the Strategic Plan for Regulatory Science 8 priority areas, of which the third priority area focuses on Product Manufacturing and Quality [49]. The authors of this paper believe, that the described „Right, First Time” workflow and concept should help to reduce time to market from max. 12 years to max. 6 years.
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